

SEP 17 2007

PTO/SB/21 (04-07)

Approved for use through 09/30/2007, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL
FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission


4

Application Number	10/665,937
Filing Date	September 18, 2003
First Named Inventor	Gunther Bellmann
Art Unit	1618
Examiner Name	Zohreh Fay
Attorney Docket Number	P02428C1

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)


<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Reply Brief
Remarks _____		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Bausch & Lomb Incorporated		
Signature			
Printed name	Toan P. Vo		
Date	September 17, 2007	Reg. No.	43225

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Suzanne V. Russo	Date	09/17/2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Serial No. 10/665,937

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the
United States Patent and Trademark Office, at facsimile number 571-273-8300,
on

September 17, 2007

Date of Transmittal

SUZANNE V. RUSSO

Type or Print Name

Suzanne V. Russo

Signature

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 17 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

: Group Art Unit: 1618

Gunther Bellmann et al.

: Examiner: Zohreh Fay

Serial No. : 10/665,937

: Response to Examiner's Answer

Filed: September 18, 2003

Title: DEXAMETHASONE GEL

Attorney Docket No. P02428-c1

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.193

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Answer mailed on July 27, 2007, please consider
Applicant's Reply Brief, as shown below.

Please charge any necessary fee associated with the filing of this Reply Brief to
Deposit Account No. 02-1425.

The statements regarding the real party in interest, related appeal and interference,
status of the claims, status of amendments, summary of claimed subject matter, and
grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal are the same as those presented in
Applicant's Appeal Brief, filed on September 22, 2006.

Serial No. 10/665,937

Arguments

The comparative data presented in Applicant's Appeal Brief show the unexpected results that pH greater than 7.3 provides exceptional stability of dexamethasone dihydrogenphosphate disodium. Such results are not taught or suggested by the cited references, taken as a whole. In addition, there are no reasons that can prompt one of ordinary skill to make a dexamethasone gel at pH greater than 7.3 to obtain the stability of dexamethasone.

The fact that GB 2007091 discloses ophthalmic compositions in the form of a gel having a pH range that includes pH less than 7.3 shows that it never contemplates that such compositions will be unstable and become pharmaceutically ineffective after a prolonged storage. Applicant discovered such a problem when people of ordinary skill in the art had not recognized, and had not provided any solution to, the problem. Therefore, claims 7-16 are patentable. *In re Nomiya*, 509 F.2d 566, 571 (C.C.P.A. 1975) ("[A] patentable invention may lie in the discovery of the source of a problem even though the remedy may be obvious once the source of the problem is identified. This is part of the 'subject matter as a whole' which should always be considered in determining the obviousness of an invention under 35 U.S.C. § 103").

The Examiner, at page 6 of the Examiner's Answer, stated that "pH is not the only variable in the comparative example." Applicant respectfully disagrees. Formulation 1 (representing a claimed composition at pH greater than 7.3) and Formulation C1 (representing composition having pH less than 7.3) differ only slightly in the amount of dexamethasone sodium phosphate (0.1107 % versus 0.0985%). Such a minor difference is not expected to have an effect on the stability of the compositions. The amount of sodium hydroxide differs slightly (0.15 % versus 0.12 %) because the difference is necessary to provide the desired pH. All other variables are kept the same in both formulations. Therefore, the comparative data show that a pH greater than 7.3 provides a stable dexamethasone gel, as claimed.

In view of the foregoing arguments, Applicant requests that the outstanding rejection be reversed and that the pending claims 7-16 be allowed.

Serial No. 10/665,937

Respectfully submitted,

Toan P. Vo

Toan P. Vo, Ph.D.
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 43,225
585-338-8071

Bausch & Lomb Incorporated
One Bausch & Lomb Place
Rochester, New York 14604
September 17, 2007